## REMARKS

In the last Action, restriction was required between claims 1, 2 and 7-9 drawn to a semiconductor device and claims 3-6 drawn to a method of making a semiconductor device. The Examiner stated that the two inventions are patentably distinct from each other, thereby making restriction proper. Applicants were required to elect one of the two inventions for further prosecution in this application.

In response to the restriction requirement, applicants have provisionally elected the invention of Group I drawn to a semiconductor device and submit that claims 1, 2 and 7-9 are readable on the elected invention. The non-elected claims have been retained in the application pending possible withdrawal of the restriction requirement or applicants' decision to pursue the subject matter thereof in a continuing application.

In light of the foregoing, early and favorable action on the merits is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

ADAMS & WILKS Attorneys for Applicants

зу:\_\_\_\_

. Adams

Reg. No. 25,386

50 Broadway 31st Floor New York, NY 10004 (212) 809-3700

## MAILING CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: MS NON-FEE AMENDMENT, COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, P.O. BOX 1450 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450, on the date indicated below.

Donna Riccardulli

Name

OCTOBER 4, 2004

Signature

Date